right to have a right to view the trial.

establishment of their reliability.

constructively closed courtroom. There's no evidence presented whatsoever, Your Honor. I'm not sure who obtained the affidavits, but they were obtained, and we don't have any opportunity to cross-examine these witnesses, and there is no

So we're saying that's still in the same box, a

Thus, our position is that any position as far as the courtroom being closed, based upon this evidence, should be void and that this Court should notice that there's -- no burden of proof has been met whatsoever as far as the defendant's involvement, and he shouldn't be punished.

I would like to point out that the defendant is Chief of the Yamassee Native American Moors of the Creek Nation Number 208/1999, BIA. It's not a religion; it's a tribe. A lot of the statements before Your Honor have been related to Nuwaubians or things like that, but the term "Nuwaupic" cannot be defined. It cannot necessarily be related to the defendant, and anything related to that, Your Honor, is disadvantageous to the defendant.

But, Your Honor, the plain and simple point, we rely on the briefs presented; we rely on the burden of proof that anyone accusing the defendant has; and that the defendant has no burden of proof whatsoever. We'd object to the closing of the courtroom. We request that the public be able to come into