

ANOTHER VICTORY FOR THE NUWAUBIANS!!

January 5, 1999 A.D. Was A Victorious Day In Court For The Nuwaubians. Three Very Prominent, Professional And Prolific Attorneys, Namely **Senator Leroy Johnson, Roy Miller, And John Clarke**, Went Into The Putnam County Courthouse In Eatonton And Fought And Defeated The False Allegations And Accusations That Were Being Thrown At Their Clients Malachi York, Thomas Chism, John Carr Jr., Deborah Greene, And The Holy Tabernacle Ministries.

This Latest Episode Began On December 15, 1998 A.D. Sheriff Howard R. Sills Accompanied By Detective Lee Wilson, Served Thomas Chism, Agent For Malachi Z. York 4 Sets Of Papers. One Of The Papers Was A Summons For Defendants, Dwight York, John Wesley Carr, Deborah Greene, United Nuwaubian Nation Of Moors, Holy Tabernacle Ministries, And The Concerned Citizens Of Eatonton To Appear In Court January 5, 1999 A.D. For Allegedly Sending A Mass Email To Various News Agencies With A Supposedly Phony Masthead. Sheriff Sills Who Was Represented By Francis Nearn Ford, Was The Plaintiff In This Case Against All Of The Defendants.

Cheryl Fincher, Writer For *The Union-Recorder*, Published An Article, Dec. 17, 1998 A.D. As If All Of These People Were Guilty When There Hadn't Even Been A Court Hearing Yet It Seems. This Was Intentionally Done. And It Is To Be Expected Of Her Because It Seems That Cheryl Fincher Is One Of The Pack Members Who Writes Stories On Howard Sills' Behalf. And Channel 13 WMAZ News Of Macon Broadcasted A Report By Jim Ragonese On January 5, 1999 A.D. That Really Only Told Half Of The Story. Yet With All Of These Allegations And Half Truths Being Thrown At 3 Individuals And 3 Groups Of People, It Was To No Avail. All Of Their Plans Failed. The Plaintiffs Could Not Even Prove Their Case And Had No Substantial Evidence.

However, One Piece Of Evidence That Is Questionable Is The Personal Information That Sheriff Sills Received From America Online (AOL), Who Has Stated That They Did Not Give Out Personal Information And Transmissions About Malachi York And John W. Carr To Anyone, Such As Their Screen Names, Addresses, Etc. The Summons Even Listed Deborah Greene As One Of The Defendants Having Been Involved In Sending The Bogus Masthead And She Doesn't Even Own A Computer. We Smell A Fat Rat!

Mrs. Williams Was Driving, Under Surveillance. She Came In With An Article That She Was Willing To Pay For, That Didn't Have The Bogus Masthead That Judy Bailey Questioned Mrs. Williams About. Ms. Bailey Actually Asked Mrs. Williams, Did You Have Anything To Do With It, As If She Had That Kind Of Authority.

So What Was The Legal Precedence For Putting Her Under Surveillance? This Means That Mrs. Williams Was Followed From Newspaper To Newspaper. They Are Violating Her Rights Under The Official Code 16-5-90 And 16-11-64 Because She Hadn't Committed Any Crimes. And Under Section 16-5-90 Surveillance Falls Under Stalking As It States Under (a) **A Person Commits The Offense Of Stalking When He Or She Follows, Places Under Surveillance, Or Contacts Another Person At Or About A Place Or Places Without The Consent Of The Other Person For The Purpose Of Harassing And Intimidating The Other Person...For The Purposes Of This Article, The Term "Harassing" And "Intimidating" Means A Knowing And Willful Course Of Conduct Directed At A Specific Person Which Causes Emotional Distress By Placing Such Person In Reasonable Fear For Such Person's Safety Or The Safety Of A Member Of His Or Her Immediate Family...Before Sentencing A Defendant For Any Conviction Of Stalking...The Sentencing Judge May Require Psychological Evaluation Of The Offender And Shall Consider The Entire Criminal Record Of The Offender.**

According To Section 16-11-64, (2) **"The Judge, Before Issuing Such A Warrant, Shall Satisfy The Party" STOP!! Judge??** So Before You Can Place Someone Under Surveillance, You Need A Warrant From A Judge. There Is No Way That Any Deputy That Had Her Under Surveillance Could Have Gotten A Warrant Because Their Actions Were Sporadic Meaning Someone Called Them And They Began To Follow Her For A Period Of Time. Their Actions Weren't Premeditated Or Thought Out. Not To Mention The Stalker Would Have To Undergo Psychological Evaluation To See If He Was Conscious Of His Actions And If There Is Any Criminal Record Or History Of Misconduct, That Too Will Be Looked Into. So With Mr. Ford's Admittance That Mrs. Williams Was Under Surveillance, If It Was Not With The Consent Of A Judge And Have A Good Reason Behind It, They Have Once Again Violated The Law. These Violations Are Starting To Stack Up.

Now Getting Back To The Point, They Traced The Car She Was Driving Back To Mrs. Deborah Greene Whose Name Was Also On The Summons To Appear In Court On January 5, 1999 A.D., Simply Because Mrs. Williams Was Driving The Car That Belongs To Mrs. Greene's Ex-Husband Leonard Greene Whose Car And License Plate Is Registered At Mrs. Greene's Address Being That Was His Place Of Residence At The Time The Car Was Purchased. All Of This Before Any Proof Of A Crime. Do You See The Level Of Unprofessionalism That Took Place? All Of This Stress And Strain, And Being Tied Into Something That Is So Minute, Yet Blown Way Out Of Proportion, Is Yet Another Ploy And Plot To Harass And Intimidate Malachi And The Nuwaubians. Make Note That Mrs. Greene's Name Shouldn't Have Been On The Summons And It Is Obvious That A Thorough Investigation Wasn't Done Before Sheriff Sills Ran Out To Malachi's Property To Serve The Summons. Furthermore, Mrs. Greene Was In Question And Answer Class Nov. 29th At Tama-Re During The Time She Was Accused Of

Disseminating The Email. And On Nov. 30th, She Was In Dublin, Ga. With Leonard Greene Until At Least 10:00 P.M. Once Again, Unprofessionalism And A Merc Attempt To Harass And Intimidate. **This Non Sense Must Stop.** Because Of This Whole Situation That Mrs. Greene And Mrs. Williams Have Unnecessarily Been Put Through Because Of One Man's Personal Vengeance, Has Caused These 2 Women To Suffer Irreparable Damages. Mrs. Williams Fears For Her Safety Because She Doesn't Know How Long She Has Been Watched And Whether Or Not She Is Still Under Surveillance. Mrs. Greene Is Afraid And Doesn't Know Where To Turn Because If It Was That Easy To Put Her Name In Something She Wasn't Involved In, These Out Of Control Officials Could Do Anything.

So All That Mr. Sills Hoped Would Happen, Didn't. All Of Their Apparently Malicious Attempts To Defame Were In Vain. The Entire January 5, 1999 A.D. Court Hearing Was A Total Waste Of Time And Energy, Not To Mention Tax Payer's Money. Yet It Was Still A Great Day. And Their Best Retaliation Was To Come Up With Some More Trumped Accusations That Dwight York, Victor Greig, Thomas Chism, John Does 1-200, And Jane Does 1-200 Are Operating Commercial Businesses On An Agriculturally Zoned Land. This Is Just Another Ploy And Concentrated Plot To Try To Destroy Tama-Re, Egypt Of The West And Stop The Nuwaubians. Ask Yourself "Why?" However, That Is Another Story.

Although It May Seem That The Nuwaubians Were Victorious In The January 5, 1999 A.D. Court Hearing, This Is Not The End. Many People Have Suffered Emotional Distress, Mental Anguish, Stress, Physical Illness And Their Reputations And Images Have Been Slandered By Libelous Statements. All Because Of The Futile Efforts Of This One White Man Who Has Some Kind Of Personal Vendetta Against A Man Whose Only Crime Is Being Black And Successful. What Year Is This? Yes, This Is A Racial Issue No Matter How Much Anyone Tries To Deny It. There Is No Other Explanation For The Amount Of Attention And Concentrated Effort On One Case, Against One Group Of People And One Man. You Ask Yourself Why And Tell Us What Other Reason That You Come With.

As Senator Leroy Johnson, Malachi York's Personal Attorney So Eloquently Put It *"It Seems To Me There Is A Concerted Effort To Run Those People Off That Land, And That's Unfortunate. I Do Not Contend They Are Operating Anything Illegally. I Just Believe There's A Personal Vendetta By Someone In Authority...And That Places In Jeopardy The Progress Made In Relationship Of Blacks And Whites In Georgia."* Mrs. Williams Sent Out An Important Article To The Public In *The Monticello News* On December 3, 1998 A.D. However With Crucial Timing *The Union-Recorder*, By The Very Next Day Published Two Distraction Headline Articles Entitled *"Mass Email To Media Target Sills- Internet Message Includes, Fake Newspaper Masthead"*, And *"Sills: Article Has Gone Too Far."* Within These Articles, The Masthead Became The Main Subject Instead Of The Laws That Were Violated By A Peace Officer, A Constitutional Officer, A Sheriff, A Trusted Law Enforcer, Named Howard Richard Sills.

Organization: Concerned Citizens Of Eatonton
<http://www.unc.edu/~ferrelld/ccoe.htm>

So How Did Sheriff Sills Get This Information? Did He Legally Acquire This Information? Did He Just Happen To Stumble Upon It? Did A Little Birdy Tell Him? Did A Friend Happen To Drop It On His Desk? Or Did He, Once Again, Use His "Official Capacity" As Sheriff To Get This Information For Personal Reasons? Because None Of The Information That Was In The Summons Was Used In Court On Jan. 5th To Prove His Case. So Why Was It Necessary To Go Into Someone's Personal Business For An Unprofessional Reason?

Or Is Somebody Lying? And If Somebody Is Lying, That Means That They Can't Be Trusted And If They Can't Be Trusted Then They Shouldn't Be In A Position Where People Depend And Trust Them With Their Lives. And We Doubt If **America Online** Is Lying Because An Entire Corporation Would Not Jeopardize Their Business' Reputation For A Small Town Sheriff's Personal, Racial Vendetta Against An Individual And A Group Of People. Yes, We Seriously Doubt If They Would Do That. So We Ask The Question Again, Did He Legally Acquire This Information? If Not, This Is Called **Malice In Law** And According To The *Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, Page 493* Means "*The Intentional Doing Of A Wrongful Act Without Just Cause Or Excuse. Implied, Inferred, Or Legal Malice. As Distinguished From Malice In Fact, It Is Presumed From Tortious Acts, Deliberately Done Without Just Cause, Excuse, Or Justification, Which Are Reasonably Calculated To Injure Another Or Others.*" But That Is Another Story And Will Be Dealt With In A Court Of Law.

Before The Case Even Started, Sheriff's Deputies Walked Around Asking Various People Their Religious Belief Who They Believe Are Members Of The **United Nuwaubian Nation Of Moors (UNNM), Holy Tabernacle Ministries (HTM),** And The **Concerned Citizens Of Eatonton (CCof E)** In Order To Issue Brand New Made Up Summons.

First Of All, Is This Legal To Ask A Person About Their Religious Beliefs? And Just Because A Person Verbally States That They Are A Member, Does Not Mean That They Really Are. Do You Know How Many People Walking Around Committing Fraud And Impersonations Claiming That They Are Something That They Are Not Or Belong To An Organization And Does Not? Again, We Want To Know Is This Legal? Aren't There Certain Procedures, Signatures, Notarizations And Authorizations That You Must Get Before You Issue A Legal Document Such As A Summons Or Ask A Person About Their Religious Beliefs? The Answer Is Simply Yes. However, Procedure Is Something That Certain Officials Seem To Always Have A Hard Time Following. And In Doing So, Is Where They Make Their Mistakes.

You'd Think That A Person Who Took An Oath To Uphold The Laws And Comes After People Who Don't Follow Zoning Ordinances And Procedures, Would Only Follow These Same Laws That He Accuses Other People Of Breaking. From Our Knowledge, That's Called Hypocrisy

Then To Take It All A Step Further, None Of The Supporters For The Nuwaubians Were Allowed Into The Courtroom Other Than Those That Were Directly Involved With The Case. Make Note That The Courtroom Has A Seating Capacity Of Approximately 128 Persons And Less Than Half Of The Seats Were Filled. What Was The Reason For Denying Anyone Their Right To Sit In On A Public Case? You Can Sit In And Listen To Any Case In Any Courtroom In America Unless There Were Some Specific Rules Not Allowing The General Public Admittance. So What Was The Reason For Denying Admittance To The Supporters And Those Who Were Simply Interested In Listening To The Case? This Too Is Being Looked Into And Letters Are Being Written To The Governor.

Now Let's Go Inside The Court Room Where The Plaintiff Sheriff Sills And His Lawyer Francis Nearn Ford, His Wife Dorothy Jean Adams And The Defendants Thomas Chism, Deborah Greene, John W. Carr, Two Board Members Of The Holy Tabernacle Ministries And Their Attorneys Leroy Johnson Who Was Their On Behalf Of His Client Malachi Z. York, Roy Miller, And John Clarke, All Waited To Present Their Cases.

In The Summons *Complaint For Injunctive Relief And For Damages, Page 4*, That Plaintiff Sheriff Sills Served To Thomas Chism, He Made It Clear That He, The Plaintiff "*Demands Judgment For Compensatory And Punitive Damages In An Amount To Be Determined By A Jury, For A Temporary Restraining Order, And For Interlocutory And Permanent Injunctive Relief Which Prevents The Defendants From Falsely Claiming To Publish The Official Legal Organ On Putnam County."*

Francis N. Ford And Sheriff Sills Didn't Get Any Thing Their Injunction Asked The Courts To Grant Them. For One, There Was No Evidence That Malachi Z. York Sent Email To Anyone On That Given Day, Nov. 29th At 6:39 P.M. Because It Looked Like Mr. Ford And Mr. Sills Were Too Proud And Arrogant To Back Out Before This January 5th Court Date Because They Didn't Have Any Evidence, Their Next Best Thing Was To Try To Infringe On Malachi's And The Other Defendant's 1st Amendment Constitutional Rights By Asking That The Judge Stop The Defendants From Going On The Internet, And To Stop Them From Printing Any Newspaper Or Literature At All.

Once The Profound Attorney, Sen. Leroy Johnson, Made It Clear That What Mr. Ford Was Requesting Was Unconstitutional And Absurd, **Judge William A. Pryor Jr.**, Who Presided Was Fair In His Judgment Realized That Frank Ford's Request Was Indeed Ridiculous. Again, They Are Trying To Violate The Rights Granted To Every American In The Constitution.

Judge William A. Pryor Jr. Also Questioned Why Was Mr. Sills Complaining On Behalf Of *The Eatonton Messenger* About The Supposedly Bogus Masthead. Judge Pryor Only Recognized The Fact That The Sheriff Is One Of The 3 People (The Other 2 Being The Probate Judge And Superior Court Clerk) Who Can Designate A Newspaper The Legal Organ. Basically Everyone Wanted To Know Why Was The Entire Case Even Necessary Being That It Was The Sheriff Making A Big Deal Out Of All Of This And Not *The Eatonton Messenger*. And It Would Not Come As A Surprise If Someone Who Is Bent On Keeping The Nuwaubians In Litigation, Coerce *The Eatonton Messenger* To File Suit. Certainly This Would Look Quite Fishy After The Fact. So It Is Obvious That This Entire Suit Was Filed By Mr. Sills Because His Feelings Were Hurt. Someone Spoke The Truth And Expressed Their Opinions About His Actions And Tactics, When It Comes To The Nuwaubians. There's Was Obviously A Personal Reason Why Mr. Sills Took This Minute Incident To Court, Not A Professional One As Usual. Not To Mention, We, Tax Paying Citizens Are Paid For The Amount Of Time Wasted In This Silly Case All To Keep A Divorce File Closed. What Are You Hiding?

Another Very Interesting Piece Of Information Surfaced In This Case. Mr. Ford Admitted That Brenda Williams Was Under **Surveillance**. And Surveillance Is The Word He Used. This To Is Also Questionable Being That Her Only Crime Was Trying To Get An Article Printed. Brenda Williams, A Concerned Citizens Of Eatonton, Went To All The Local Papers To Get Her Article Printed; Not A Newspaper, But A Typed Article. Mrs. Williams Went To *The Union-Recorder* Where She Encountered **Judy Bailey**, The Managing Editor. And As Mrs. Williams Sat There In Front Of **Judy Bailey**, What Do You Think She Did? She Questioned Her Like A Sheriff And At The End Of This Well-Planned Plot, **Judy Bailey** Pulled Out A Front Page Of A So-Called Newspaper Called *The Eatonton News* Not *The Eatonton Messenger*. She Asked Mrs. Brenda Williams '*Did You Put This Out?*', After Having Read The Article That Brenda Williams Was There To Pay To Have Printed.

Judy Bailey Or Someone Who Works There Is Responsible For Having Mrs. Williams Followed Because They Alarmed The Deputies. They Followed The Car That